BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

HEARING #08-10929

MARCH 28, 2008

10:30 A.M.

ALLOWABLE EX PARTE BRIEFING

REQUESTED BY DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS - SMART GRID ACTIVITY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

VOLUME 1

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: G. O'Neal Hamilton, Chairman, C. Robert Moseley, Vice Chairman; and COMMISSIONERS John E. "Butch" Howard, Elizabeth B. "Lib" FLEMING, and Randy MITCHELL.

ADVISOR TO COMMISSION: JOSEPH MELCHERS, ESQ.

STAFF: Jocelyn G. Boyd, Deputy Clerk; James Spearman, Ph.D., Executive Assistant to Commissioners; Randall Dong, Esq., Legal Staff; Philip Riley, Advisory Staff; Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM-GNSC, Court Reporter; and Patty Sands, Hearing Room Assistant.

APPEARANCES:

CATHERINE HEIGEL, ESQUIRE, along with DIANE DENTON, ROB MANNING, MATT SMITH, and CAROL SHRUM, PRESENTERS, representing DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS

LESSIE HAMMONDS, ESQUIRE, representing OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

Public Service Commission of South Carolina

101 EXECUTIVE CENTER DRIVE COLUMBIA, SC 29210

POST OFFICE BOX 11649 COLUMBIA, SC 29211

WWW.PSC.SC.GOV

INDEX

I	<u>PAGE</u>
OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. HEIGEL	4
PRESENTATION	6-62
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Howard	
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Mitchell/Chairman Hamilton	
Question/comment(s) by Mr. Melchers	
Question/comment(s) by Chairman Hamilton	
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Fleming	
Question/comment(s) by Chairman Hamilton	
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Howard	
Question/comment(s) by Vice Chairman Moseley	
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Mitchell	
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Fleming	. 45
Question/comment(s) by Mr. Melchers	
Question/comment(s) by Commissioner Howard	. 51
Video Clip #1	
Protecting the environment by lowering energy use: Jenna's	S
presentation to her class is about how the utility company	
can help her family understand how her family uses energy	
and helps them to use it more effectively. Jenna and her	
dad access the utility's website to see their real-time	
usage information. By better understanding how they are	
using energy, they are able to make better choices about	
when and how they use energy in order to reduce their	
consumption. This family significantly reduced their	
energy use and environmental footprint by installing a	
smart thermostat, more efficient lighting, and smart appliances.	
Video Clip #2	57
Managing energy usage through a budget: Joshua's	. 51
presentation to his class is about his grandmother who is	
on a fixed income. His grandmother has chosen to participate	ate
in a utility program that, using smart metering technology	
can help her manage her energy consumption to a specific	,
budgeted amount each month. Through real-time information	
the grandmother can see things like how much energy she has	
used already, how many units, and what appliances are using	
the cost energy. During a heat wave, the utility proactive	ely
contacts her to let her know that at the present usage rate	Э,
she will exceed her budgeted amount. The grandmother gets	
to choose between having the utility manage her usage in	
order to stay on budget or she may choose to spend a little	
more and stay comfortable through the heat wave. Smart gr	i d
technology can allow customers to proactively manage their	
energy use to a budget, without giving up the option to st	ау
comfortable.	

Video Clip #3	
Conclusion of presentation	3
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE66)

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Please be seated. We'll call the briefing to order. We've got a very smart group today. I tell you what, you are very impressive. Welcome. Catherine, I'll turn it over to you and let you educate us.

MS. HEIGEL: All right, thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. My name is Catherine Heigel. I'm here on behalf of Duke Energy Carolinas and am happy to see you all again.

We are excited to be here this morning to talk to you all about what we think are very exciting developments in technology that will help to revolutionize our business and the way we deliver it.

Let me introduce, before I get too far, the panel that we have here today for Duke. We have Ms. Diane Denton, who is a director in our regulatory strategy group; Mr. Rob Manning, who is vice president of field operations; Matt Smith, who's the director of technology development; and Carol Shrum, who is our vice president of rates.

Before we get into the presentation, we have two aspects of the presentation this morning. We have a PowerPoint, and we have some video clips we

would like to show you all.

2.0

2.1

We have, as you know, a pending docket on energy efficiency, so we would ask your understanding that there may be certain questions that we might not be able to answer as it relates to a specific energy efficiency program, as a result of that pending docket. And I also wanted to make clear that we are not here for any request. This is purely informational, to let you know what we are doing and looking at and evaluating, and some of the things that we're finding to be very exciting in the industry. And we know that you all hear about these things at NARUC and other places, and we'd like you to know that one of your utilities is evaluating these options.

As we go through the presentation, we certainly welcome your questions and would hope that you would feel free to ask them, and of course, at the end we'll also have an opportunity for questions, as well.

So with that, we'll go ahead and get started, and Ms. Diane Denton will kick it off, as soon as I give her the clicker [indicating].

MS. DENTON: [Indicating.]

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Lessie, we don't want to

1 leave you out. Happy to have you, Ms. Hammonds, with us this morning. MS. HAMMONDS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 Lessie Hammonds, here today, as Executive Director 4 Dukes Scott's designee for ORS. 5 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Thank you, very much, for being with us. 7 MS. HAMMONDS: Thank you. 8 MS. DENTON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 9 Commissioners. I appreciate the opportunity to 10 come and talk with you today about smart grids. 11 As Catherine mentioned, what we want to talk 12 13 to you about today is just to provide an educational overview on smart grid activity in the 14 15 United States. We want to talk about what we 16 believe are the benefits of implementing a smart 17 grid, what Duke Energy is doing around smart grid 18 and new technologies. We want to bring that to South Carolina and talk about what we've been doing 19 2.0 specifically in this State. And then, as Catherine 2.1 mentioned, we'll wrap up with just a few video 22 clips that are illustrative of kind of the smart grid vision and the possibilities that we think are 23 24 coming in the near future. And as Catherine mentioned, please just stop 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

me and let's answer questions as we go.

Let me start by just touching on new federal legislation, the Energy Independence And Security Act of 2007. This was legislation signed into law by President Bush December 19, 2007, and it really has several key areas of focus. It is guite broad, but if I were to summarize and tell you kind of what the key buckets are, in terms of the legislation, it focuses on energy security for the United States, and really in terms of making us a more energy-secure country it focuses on things like vehicle fuel economy, the production -increasing the production of bio-fuels. It focuses a lot on how do we save energy as a company [sic] through appliance standards, building and industry standards. It touches on carbon capture and sequestration, and it also focuses on smart grids.

And there are many definitions of smart grids. I imagine, if you even asked each one of us here at the table, we might define it a little differently. But, I thought we might start by just the definition that's offered in this new legislation, which is really referring to a distribution system that allows for two-way communication. You'll hear that a lot as you hear about smart grids or smart

2.0

2.1

metering technology, but what we're talking about is the flow of information in two directions, both to and from the customer's home. And really, as we talk about smart grids, we even talk about inside the customer's house, being able to gather information from things like thermostats, appliances, other devices, and then giving that information back to the utility.

It includes a variety of other operational and energy measures. Rob Manning will be getting into details around that, but we're not just here talking about smart meters, and I think that's an important point to capture.

Key aspects of this legislation that we just wanted to bring to your attention: First, we did provide you with a handout of Title XIII, which is the section of this legislation that deals with smart grids. It's not very long, so you have a summary of that in front of you, just for your information. And a couple of the sections within the smart grid that I just wanted to bring to your attention are listed here on this slide.

The first is that, you know, the Department of Energy is going to report to Congress on the deployment of technologies and any barriers to

2.0

2.1

deployment. There's going to be several study commissions formed to talk about, you know, how do we get this implemented, you know, how do we make sure that this is something that we can pursue as a country. And then there's also a section that looks at a federal fund that would match one-fifth of the smart grid investments made by nonfederal entities. This is, of course, of interest to Duke Energy, as we look at smart grid technologies and, certainly while this is yet to be funded, we certainly will keep our eyes open to see if there are, one day, federal funds available for us to pursue.

And then under Section 1307 of Title XIII is regarding rate recovery, where the legislation just encourages the states to consider authorizing utilities to recover the costs related to the deployment of a smart grid system. And, obviously, we find that of interest, as well.

As I move on to the next slide, this is really just an illustration to show that, you know, Duke Energy is not out in front on this. We certainly think we're out in front in the way we're looking at smart grid technologies, the way we're looking to maintain flexibility and having a long-term

1	include things like your existing meters today.
2	You know, if you're going to put in smarter meters
3	and smarter technology, you might have an existing
4	meter today that's not fully depreciated that you
5	would be looking to recover.
6	MR. MANNING: I think that that's that
7	would be the primary target for this. A lot of the
8	rest of the equipment I think that would be
9	considered obsolete has probably been out there
LO	long enough to recover its value, but the meters
L1	in a lot of cases, states and companies have moved
L2	towards automatic metering systems that were maybe
L3	first technology, and this is extended technology
L4	well beyond that, and while they may not be fully
L5	depreciated, the value that comes from the new
L6	meters surpasses the cost of letting those go
L7	early. And we will talk more about that.
L8	COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Okay, thank you.
L9	MS. DENTON: Any questions on this slide,
20	before I move on?
21	[No response]
22	MS. DENTON: Okay, I'll turn it over to Rob.
23	MR. MANNING: Thanks, Diane. And I have the
24	opportunity to talk about what's good about smart
25	grid. It's my job to keep the lights on in the

1 Carolinas, and there are a lot of challenges to keeping the lights on in the Carolinas, as you 2 certainly well know through the years. And this 3 offers an opportunity to do it in a better way. 4 And it's the first real step change that I've seen 5 in 15 or 20 years, regarding how we might can 6 deliver service to the customers of the Carolinas 7 in a better way, not only offering them more choice 8 -- as you see from the first bullet up there, there 9 are a lot of opportunities to give customers 10 choice, that we are unable to provide today because 11 we don't have the technology and we don't have the 12 13 ready, available information at hand. We can begin 14 to offer those customers choice through some of the 15 technologies that are out there. 16 We believe there's an opportunity to reduce 17 outages, and certainly we know there's an 18 opportunity to reduce outage duration -- and I'll talk a little more about that -- and those things 19 2.0 have historically led to improved customer satisfaction, and we would expect that to be the 2.1

It does provide benefit to the company. There are significant opportunities to gain operational efficiencies. More information means we can

VOLUME 1

case today, as well.

22

23

24

25

24

25

operate our system in different ways than we 1 operate our system today, and we can create some 2 opportunities that give us better efficiency 3 through automation. there are system performance 4 issues about when and how we control our system 5 through that improved information that really 6 provides benefits to us as a company. And, of 7 course, it provides benefit to communities, because 8 -- and we'll talk some more about this -- we 9 believe there's an opportunity to reduce the need 10 for generation, associated with this, which 11 improves our footprint overall, as far as carbon. 12 13 Integrating renewables is something we'll talk more 14 about. The integration of renewables is a brand 15 new technology and something that I believe is about to explode, and we are ready to take that 16 17 step. But we need some significant improvements in 18 technology to effectively do that. And certainly, we believe it improves public safety and employee 19 2.0 safety, as well. 2.1 Just to get into a little more detail, we've 22

Just to get into a little more detail, we've actually been evaluating a number of components of the smart grid technology for some time now. Most of that equipment has been distribution automation type equipment, and that has a lot to do with

1 relays, the movement of old relay technology -which is akin to the old tube market and the old electromechanical relays, replacing those with 3 digital equipment. And it's that digital equipment 4 that allows you to make that step change in 5 information that's available. And really, the smart grid is all about access to greater 7 information, and it requires -- for example, it 8 requires broadband communication. You really can't transmit the level of data that you need to 10 transmit, without some sort of broadband 11 capability. So it's more than just putting new 12 13 stuff on the line; it's also creating an 14 opportunity to talk to that stuff that's out there, 15 and to talk with it two-way, so that you can make decisions about what's actually happening in real-16 17 time ways. 18 And we're moving down that road, but it is 19 taking some time -- and I'll talk about this slide, 2.0 some, to just give you an example of how this 2.1 works, and this slide is really about meter reading 22 and metering. This is an area where there are dramatic and 23 24 fast changes. We moved to automated meter reading, which is that second step there -- oh, gosh, we 25

2.0

2.1

started that in '98, and we completed that in the early 2000s, 2000-2001 I believe, in the South Carolina. And we have automatic meter reading today.

Automatic meter reading looks like a device that communicates via radio. We drive by it in a van, and we can drive through a neighborhood and it instantly accumulates all the readings in that neighborhood. And we've had that for, what, eight years or so, now. It's a wonderful technology, a significant improvement over having a person actually having to walk out, read that meter. About one out of ten gets bitten by a dog, and, you know, you have all those issues that you have to deal with. And now we just ride through in a van. And, in fact, we found in some of the areas with higher duration, you can catch mountaintop to mountaintop, so you don't even have to be in the neighborhood to catch some of the meters.

It's a good technology, but it's one-way technology. It doesn't tell us anything about what's going on at that particular home site. We don't know anything other than the kilowatt-hour usage at that site through this meter.

The next box that you see there is advanced

2.0

2.1

metering infrastructural, or AMI. And AMI has been around for a number of years. It's becoming more and more prolific, in this day and time, and when you look back at the slide that had the states and the percentage of deployment of those technologies, a lot of that deployment is being deployed through AMI.

AMI offers two-way communication. It gives you the opportunity to query that meter from a central location and find out some information about what's going on there. The information in AMI is relatively limited, though. You cannot find out, for example, what's happening inside the house through an AMI meter. You can only discover whether or not the power is on, and whether or not they're using energy at the time and what that energy usage is. So, AMI has some limitations, but it is a step change over AMR, which is where we are today.

We are actually looking at skipping the AMI step and moving to what is an emerging technology, and we're calling that "smart meters," which is kind of the industry name for the newest iteration of meters. And we're looking at moving straight into a smart meter platform, and you can see the

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

type of information provided with a smart meter far exceeds the type of information provided by either AMR or AMI.

We can begin to see exactly what's going on in the home, and that provides that platform from where you can reach out into the house and actually help control things, or where you can reach out into the house and provide the customer with information they need to control things. It gives us the opportunity to communicate on a real-time basis and do things like remote disconnect, remote connect. Those are the kinds of things that we're not able to do today, at all. It gives us information about voltage and load at that site, so that if we are concerned about a low-voltage issue, we might could send a query out and spot a smart meter and find out what's actually going on at that site, and then we can respond appropriately based on that information.

It provides us with opportunity to know whether or not there is power at that site. That is something that we do not have today. Today we expect a customer to call us when the power is off, even with automated meter reading. A smart meter gives us that opportunity to have that

1 automatically done through a smart meter, such that we will know the instant that any power is off. 2 And the applications for that, I think, are 3 tremendous. It allows us to move much faster on 4 outages. We don't have to wait for the logic of 5 multiple customer calls to roll in and tell us 6 where problems are occurring. And I'll talk a 7 little more about that when we talk about outages. 8 But also, for example, think about: You're a 9 turkey farmer and your houses are remote, and the 10 power goes off and you don't know it at the house, 11 and the power's off all night. Well, that creates 12 13 all kinds of problems, and we may figure out some 14 relationship between Duke and the turkey farmer 15 that allows us to monitor the power there on a 16 real-time basis, so that, if the power goes out, we're there and we know that we need to repair that 17 in a matter of a few minutes. 18 19 **COMMISSIONER MITCHELL:** I appreciate you doing 2.0 that. CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: How much is it going to 2.1 22 cost him? 23 [Laughter] MR. MANNING: We'll leave that up to you guys. 24 25 Okay. If you take that one more step, and that

2.0

2.1

step is the smart grid, it's the integration of smart meters -- and not just the smart meter, but the information that the smart meter gives you. It's the integration of that information and meter with the system itself.

This is primarily a distribution system model. It means that the protective devices that are out there along that distribution system will talk to the meters, such that, if you realize there's a real-time thing happening, you can deal with it, and deal with it immediately.

And I'll give you an example of that. Last summer we had 20, 25 days in a row over 90 degrees and our distribution system was severely stressed. We had a lot of load issues. We had constantly -- we were out virtually every night, all night, dealing with issues all around the system, stressing wire, stressing transformers, stressing protective devices.

What this system will allow you to do is monitor that in real time and, if you have the opportunity and if you've established this relationship with customers to execute load control on a real-time basis, you may spot, execute load control in one subdivision, to reduce the load on

2.0

2.1

that particular wire size, and hold it there until night until you were allowed to recover some. And in that way, you avoid an outage altogether, which may have -- before, we would not have known that that was occurring until after the outage had already happened.

So you can start to see -- particularly if you automate that sort of decision, you can start to see how this might move amongst the millions of customers and create a real step change in how that system performs. It's really exciting to think about the kinds of opportunities that this provides you.

And it's not so much just the opportunity to prevent, but also the response part -- and I'll talk about that. In fact, let's move that today.

I do want to say one thing, though, about renewable generation before we move to that slide. We have struggled through the years to figure out how to integrate someone who wants to put a generator at their house and then connect it to our system. And I will leave the rate issues up to folks like Carol, because those are challenging enough, but it's not just the rate issues; it's the actual management of the flow of energy that occurs

2.0

2.1

when you have multiple sites and multiple supplies of energies. And if you think about the implications of having a circuit with 2,000 customers on it, what if 1,000 of those people had solar panels on their house? How would you integrate that, such that you know which way the energy is flowing, what the loads would be on the wire? Some of the fuses, some of the devices we have, only look in one direction. So what if the energy is turned round and flowing in the other direction?

We really have a lot of limitations in how we can control and manage that grid today, and what this technology provides you -- particularly, in the smart grid technology -- is the opportunity to know what that is in a real-time way, and adjust the criteria associated with that circuit in a real-time way, so that if you need to -- if you need to lower the amount of current that it takes to interrupt a protective device, you can do that automated through a computer. If you need to raise it, you can do that in an automatic way through a computer. You just can't make that many decisions on a real-time basis with human beings in today's market.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

So if you're going to see a future that has the integration of multiple deployed technology, you really have to move in this direction to make it work. Otherwise, it's just too much of a challenge, I think, to get there.

So I want to talk just a little bit about -to give you an example of the difference -- to talk a little bit about how we manage outages. And this is kind of a graphic of how we would do that today. Step one, an outage occurs. It's interesting, this is not a tree outage, I see; it's a big X on a pole, so it's something else. It's not a tree outage. But the outage has occurred, and the way that you deal with that today is, the customer must call. If the customer doesn't call us, there are only a few places where we actually know that an outage has occurred. Those are beginning to move, as we have deployed some of this technology as I talked about beginning in 2006. We have a few points out there on our system where we can monitor it real-time ourselves.

But for now, for 95 percent of our customers, in order for us to know that you have an outage you have to call us. Now, in steps two, three, and four, you'll either get a live voice at our call

2.0

2.1

center or you may call 1-800-POWER-ON. In both of those cases, they feed that information into our outage management system, and our outage management system will then cause that information to flow through to a dispatcher. And the way that that happens depends on how many outages there are, but the routine, the way this would happen on any given night, for the most part, is an outage ticket actually prints out on the dispatcher's desk.

So it's kind of old school. That's the way we do it on a routine basis, is the outage -- the dispatcher's sitting there, something pops up on her desk in a printout, and she takes that and she will call a crew, a work crew, and will dispatch them based on the information that's provided by the outage management system.

That information is as good as the information our customers give us. If a customer saw a problem, then that's very helpful, and we provide that information to the crew. More often than not, what we get is, "I heard an explosion. I don't know what it is." Or, "My transformer blew up." We get a lot of transformers blowing up, in the customer's eyes. And what we find is it's something that's miles away that's created the

2.0

2.1

problem. But when you dispatch the crew, you dispatch the crew based on the information that you have. And in many cases, that doesn't necessarily send the crew to wear the outage occurs, but it sends the crew to the customer's home, and then we have to work our way back.

More often than not, it's dark. There are a lot of times when lines are -- the City of Greenville, for example, a lot of those lines are in backyards, and you find yourself at night crossing fences, going in and out of yards, walking through people's backyards at 2 a.m. with big, giant flashlights, shining them up into the air looking for problems.

So that's one of the challenges we face, and that's one of the reasons that we have an outage duration that takes a couple of hours to deal with most outages on an average basis.

So once the crewman is able to find the outage and restores the power, then we find ourselves faced with an issue of do you call the customer back or not, to confirm the power is back on? And as you've known, I'm sure, through the years, we have a policy of calling customers back. Now we stop that at about 10 o'clock at night and we start

2.0

2.1

those time frames were actually -- they come from the school of hard knocks, from calling some folks at 2 a.m. and 3 a.m. and telling them, "Hey, did you know your power's back on?" And you get a few of those, "Do you think I'm an idiot or something?" But it's not because we want to be nice; it's because we don't know, until we call them. So it's a part of our process to figure out what's out there that is still off.

This is a big problem during a major storm.

During a major storm, we are not dispatching by customer, because we have so many outages; we're dispatching by protective device. And we may pick up a protective device, but if a particular customer's home is off because their service, for example, has pulled away from the house, then there's no way for us to know that, without actually walking out to that customer's home or asking that customer to call us back.

Now, if you look at how we would see it working with smart grid -- and some of this is experimental and we're just figuring out how to make it work, but the flow is much different. Once an outage occurs, we would expect that -- we will

2.0

2.1

figure out how to make that meter immediately contact us and tell us the power is off. And that takes place in microseconds. In microseconds we would know that the power is off, not only at one place but all the places that are impacted. So you don't have to wait for logic to roll up within our outage management system to figure out, "Well, there's 62 customers off; therefore, it must be this protective device." This will instantly tell you 62 customers are off, it's got to be this spot.

Not only will it tell you exactly what the

Not only will it tell you exactly what the spot is, but it gives the dispatcher the option of actually calculating, based on the information provided by the devices and the meters, where could that fault have occurred. We can analyze the fault currents that came in across the protective devices and we can tell from analyzing that circuit that it looks like there's a tree on the line between pole 6 and pole 16, and we make a dispatch of that crew then within a few hundred feet of where there's a tree on the line. Much better than walking through folks' yards and in the back of their homes at 2 a.m. Much better than that.

Yes, sir.

MR. MELCHERS: Quick question. Does it tell

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

you that power is out by an absence of a signal?

MR. MANNING: Well, that's a good question, because we haven't figured all that out yet. We're still -- as Matt can tell you, we're working through how that's going to happen. There are a lot of different options that you can have. One is you can constantly be looking out to see who has a signal and who doesn't, and in that case you would pick it up if they don't have a signal. So that would be the absence of a signal. There's also a technology that's called Last Gasp, which would have a capacitor built into the meter, which would say, once the power goes off, that capacitor will discharge and send you an immediate signal, and that's the last gasp that meter will give you before it's out.

Matt, anything you would add? Matt's my metering expert.

MR. SMITH: That's a tall order to live up to. The one thing I would say is, the importance of having the smart grid versus just the smart meter is that your assets all along the distribution system would work in concert to help you determine if it's one meter in one home that's without power, or if it goes further up the circuit or even the

substation, so instead of having hundreds of 1 thousands of meters shouting their last gasp at you and overwhelming you, it would work back into the 3 system and you may have five meters roll up to a 4 transformer, and then at that point the transformer 5 would take over and let the meters be quiet, and then roll back up, and be checking all along the 7 way to say do I have power, do I have 8 communication, what is the issue, and again rolling it back up to a point where it knows and then 10 telling us -- or back to the head-end system --11 here's the problem, instead of being overwhelmed 12 13 with thousands and thousands of messages. 14 MR. MELCHERS: So all the technology choices 15 consider sending signals through the lines; it wouldn't be some type of a wireless? 16 MR. MANNING: It's a mixture of all of those. 17 18 In fact, we're still in pilot stages, so we haven't 19 determined exactly what we think the best 2.0 communication mechanism might be, but we're trying all of it. We're trying powerline carrier, but 2.1 22 we're also trying cell phone modems, the same thing that's on your Blackberry. And those are working 23 24 just fine. So it probably will come down to what's the 25

2.0

2.1

most reliable and what's the most reasonable cost.

Matt, anything you would add?

MR. SMITH: Well, I'll just give an example of what we're using today in one area: a powerline carrier from the home, from the meter to the transformer. You're on a very reliable, very easy-to-use medium, the powerline, which goes to every home. And then at the transformer it picks up a wireless signal, which takes it to a local area, a local area of wireless components, and then when it gets to a certain backhaul point there's a fiber line, the Internet basically, that then brings it back to our head office. And as Rob said, we're still trying these, but we're seeing that if we use a combination of technologies, we get more reliable communication and more secure communication.

So as you get closer to the home, you're getting the most secure communication methodology, and then as you move back into the system, you can add insecurity; you can add software suites, et cetera. But the combination, we're finding -- instead of using one technology -- the combination gives us greater reliability and redundancy than we could have with one technology.

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: The time frame you're

And

talking about would still be almost instant? 1 MR. MANNING: That's right. 2 MR. SMITH: Yeah, it's amazing. It is. 3 you can reach out to that meter or those other end-4 points, the transformer, instantly. You can send a 5 message asking it, "Do you have power? What is 6 your status?" And it's just like an e-mail or a 7 phone conversation, basically. It's that quick. 8 MR. MANNING: You start to see -- I can start 9 to see, because I also manage the transmission 10 system, and a lot of the systems that we have had 11 in place on the transmission grid for a long time 12 13 will make decisions in milliseconds, and there's no human involved until after the fact. And you can 14 15 start to see that moving down onto the distribution 16 grid, so that instead of having a circuit with 17 3,500 people on it out of power, it may have 18 isolated a single transformer with only a few 19 customers on it. So, you dramatically improve 2.0 outage response for all the rest of the people on

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Would it be so smart that it possibly could correct itself or reroute power?

that circuit, and you've got the information you

need to go repair that one particular area.

MR. MANNING: That's a great question, because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Catherine just asked me in my other ear.

MS. HEIGEL: I'm sorry.

MR. MANNING: We do have places today where we have that capability, but it's not as fast as this would provide you that opportunity. The downtown underground systems, for example, have backup In order to reroute, you need backup sources. sources. We have backup sources in some places, but not many. Our system is predominantly a rural system, and in a rural system it's more a spider web out that doesn't connect back. In the cities, however, we have a lot of interconnections, and where we have those interconnections you can make those real-time decisions to isolate down to the fewest number of people impacted by an outage, and you may choose to pick up people off the back side of that circuit from a different circuit and backfeed it from another direction.

So those are the kinds of things that are certainly within the realm of possibility. And, in fact, a number of those are already in play today. There are things called -- there's an industry term called self-healing network, so you can move towards this self-healing network that would eliminate outages for all but those directly

impacted by that particular outage.

2.0

2.1

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: So, the consumer could basically, possibly just see a blink, and everything takes care of itself.

MR. MANNING: Exactly, could just see a blink and everything is restored. So it's a dramatic improvement for the distribution customer. It's the kind of things that transmission customers, those largest customers who are served directly off that transmission grid have come to expect through the years. And we believe with this technology you could start to push that out into the distribution customers.

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: What about the other states that have already had smart grid, are they using a combination of these methods? Are you learning from them?

MR. MANNING: I'm no expert on it, but we are learning from it. In fact, we sit down with Progress Energy, and Progress Energy is doing a lot associated with fault-finding, for example, is one of the options through the relays that are out there. So we've been working with Progress on that. We've been working with Florida Power & Light. A lot of people are doing small components

2.0

2.1

of this. There's no one doing it all. And a lot of those states are deploying AMI, instead of smart meters, so they don't really have the capability to move in that direction.

A couple of examples: Georgia Power put in a self-healing network a few years ago for the Olympics, as an experimental -- on an experimental basis. It's still in place today, and as far as I know, it's still working and working well today, just to serve the greater downtown area and the area around the Olympics.

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: But I'm talking more about like Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, that have so much about notifying you all, the method of notifying.

MR. MANNING: I don't -- Matt, do you know anything more about Pennsylvania or --

MR. SMITH: I think it's similar to what Rob was saying, they seem to be very isolated looking at a metering solution, differently from the distribution -- broader distribution grid, very stepwise, so once they get the metering -- or, this is what their plans appear or what they talked about. They'll get the metering piece in place and then build on top of that the smart grid, instead

2.0

2.1

of looking at it holistically, how do we build this more intelligently up front?

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: And you were saying broadband is essential. Is that -- I know that you all were experimenting in some neighborhoods, putting broadband in earlier. Was this kind of a precursor to that, this?

MR. SMITH: It has been. That was one of the initial ideas of trying to use the powerlines as much as we could for this entire smart grid project. And we found that there's instances where we can use that very effectively. The technology has not kept pace like we thought it would, so we're now looking at the combination of that and wireless and even powerline -- non-broadband powerline communication. But as Rob mentioned, the real key is having broadband as deep into the network as we can.

And when we say broadband, it's not necessarily what you would think from a consumer standpoint of being able to watch video on your home computer, but fast enough to take packets of information from millions of meters at a time. So although it is a broadband, technically, it's not what you would think in a consumer standpoint,

broadband.

2.0

2.1

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Let me ask you, you mentioned earlier about some places were doing part but not all, and different people doing different things. The meters that they have in now, are those meters going to be obsolete when they have to go to the next step?

MR. MANNING: That could very well be. The metering -- the folks who manufacture the meters today will tell you no, but I'll give you an example of one of the issues we've had, is when Congress changed the time for daylight savings time, we had to go out to 4,000 meters -- more than 4,000, I believe -- and actually make that programming change in the meter. Meters become obsolete very quickly. And I believe those AMI meters -- correct me if I'm wrong, Matt -- I believe those AMI meters will be considered obsolete in short order. That's just personal belief.

MR. SMITH: They're very much like a personal computer with a meter technology inside of it. And when you think about how rapidly computing technology changes, although the metrology -- the metering technology -- won't change much, all the

1 components that surround it will change rapidly, and just like we see with cell phones and iPods and personal computers, in seven to ten years there 3 likely will be something ten times better for at 4 least, you know, half or less of the cost. So I'm 5 with Rob, I think that we will see they will become obsolete. 7 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: And the basic smart meter now, what's the approximate cost? 9 10 MR. SMITH: It can be anywhere from as low as \$60-65, up to \$150-175, for a residential meter. 11 For a commercial or industrial meter, they can go 12 13 \$3-400. 14 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Okay. Not as expensive as 15 I had thought they were. MR. MANNING: They're also brand new. 16 17 fact, the first group we got came right off their 18 test panel and were built by hand, manually. So, we would -- and Matt and I have had this 19 2.0 conversation. We would expect that cost to come 2.1 down when we start ordering a lot more and they're 22 into -- we already have them into a mechanical process today, but we would expect that cost to 23 come down as we increase the volume of orders. 24 MR. SMITH: 25 Sure. Much like the computer,

2.0

2.1

it's made up of components of plastic, silicon, microprocessors, not copper and steel, so we're seeing just the component parts, those costs, decreasing because it's technology, just like, again, the computer and iPod, what you bought a year ago is much cheaper today. And because it's the same component parts, we expect to see the same price declines.

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Thank you.

MR. MANNING: The one analogy I've used when I've talked about this is, if you think about AMR and the movement from AMR to AMI, the two-way communication, it's analogous to the old bag phone that we all carried around in the car, to today's cell phone. But when you're thinking about the smart meter, it's moving from the bag phone to a Blackberry, or something, that you not only have voice communication but you also have data and capability to go back and forth, and so forth.

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Talking about metering, this is a good time for me to tell my meter story that I just learned from a meeting I had in Washington with a Commissioner from Connecticut. He said at each family dinner that they went to, that his brother-in-law was always on him about his

1 utility bill. And, of course, if I lived in Connecticut, I might be the same way. But anyway, he said, well, he told him at Thanksgiving dinner, 3 said, "Look, let me pay for the people to come out 4 and check your meter and audit your utilities." 5 And I think it was a \$15 fee, and the fellow agreed to let him do it, so he did it. 7 They came out, checked the meter, and the meter was slow, and they replaced it. And he said 9 he hasn't been -- he didn't get invited to 10 Christmas dinner. 11 [Laughter] 12 13 And this is supposed to be from the truth. So the new meters aren't going to be slow or fast, 14 15 they'll be calibrated --MR. MANNING: They'll be calibrated and 16 17 accurate, and we actually have found some slow 18 meters in our tests and in our pilots, by putting these out there. 19 2.0 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: You always take a risk 2.1 when you ask you fellows to come check. 22 MR. MANNING: Absolutely. I have no doubt 23 that story is true. COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Mr. Manning, I have a 24

25

question on my thought process. Is this program to

be mandatory? I mean, are all your consumers going to have to -- when you implement the program, will all the consumers in a particular area, whatever the case may be, will it be mandatory that they're going to have to go to your smart meter?

MR. MANNING: That's an excellent question,
Commissioner, and I don't think we've figured that
out yet. We're still trying to figure the
technology out, to see what the availability might
be, and we haven't begun to start putting the
programs together on how we might offer those
programs, unless Carol or Diane -- you folks might
have any more information. I think the answer to
that question is, we don't know.

commissioner Howard: And then in follow-up -it may be a premature question to follow up with,
but who would pay for the meters? I mean, assuming
here's the scenario: number one, it's mandatory;
you have to. Would you all put it in rate base, or
each customer pay for the meters. And again, if
it's volunteer, would the customer pay for the
meters or how would the cost of the meters be
distributed throughout the --

MR. MANNING: Our preference would be -- or, do you want to take this? Our preference would be

1 that it would be a part of rate base. MS. DENTON: Right, just as meters are today. 2 MS. HEIGEL: Today, correct. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN MOSELEY: But if I live next to 4 O'Neil and I let him get the smart meter, I don't 5 want a smart meter, so I'll just let him pay for it. 7 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: No, you're going to help 8 pay for mine. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN MOSELEY: I know, if it's in the 10 rate base. 11 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: And I appreciate it. 12 13 MR. SMITH: I think one fine point to put on 14 that, as we look at how we would deploy across the 15 service territory, some of the operational benefit is dependent on having a wide deployment. 16 have one home that has a smart meter and the home 17 18 next to it does not, that likely increases my 19 operating cost because I'm reading that meter in 2.0 two different manners, so I may be sending a person 2.1 or a truck to drive by the one meter and then 22 reading the other over the communications system, 23 and we would likely see costs go up for communication because we're doing it over fewer end 24 points, and through the drive-by or the mobile 25

2.0

2.1

system, because we're driving by more areas picking up less meters.

So, as Rob said, we haven't figured out what that deployment is, exactly, but just the pure economics point toward reducing operating costs by leveraging millions of end points, or more of the meters being the smart technology.

CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Thank you.

MR. MANNING: One last point on this slide, and I'll move on, and that's under Step 7, which really becomes Step 2, also: You don't have to call anybody back. Once the power is restored, you can go out and search, or you can have that meter notify you immediately, so there's no requirement to call anyone back to know that the power's back on.

Particularly powerful during a major storm of some sort. If there's a major storm outage, as we talked about earlier, if the service is off at a given house, I can go out and do a search in that neighborhood and I can find out who is still off. And that has significant opportunity for us to reduce our cost during major storms.

As you know, the last couple of the days of a storm are the most difficult to manage, because you

have such dispersed outages, and we tend to hold 1 crews, not knowing what we have, until we figure 2 out what we have, and there's a lot of inefficiency 3 in that last day or two, and you could really zero 4 that down in a matter of moments, and possibly 5 release crews a day earlier than we're currently releasing them today. 7 During a major storm in 2002, I think we were 8 well over \$1 million a day on just crews that were 9 sitting out there, so you can start to see how you 10 can reduce those costs dramatically with that kind 11 of information. 12 13 COMMISSIONER MITCHELL: Yes, sir. If you decide to implement this, will North Carolina and 14 15 South Carolina be brought in together, or would it have to be a plan that maybe North Carolina has to 16 17 have a percentage before South Carolina, or will it 18 be jointly? Or have you even decided? MR. MANNING: We haven't decided. 19 We are piloting it in both states. And this is the first 2.0 2.1 official meeting we've had on that, but we are 22 piloting it --COMMISSIONER MITCHELL: So that means --23 MR. MANNING: -- in both states. 24 **COMMISSIONER MITCHELL:** -- we're going to be 25

first, then? 1 [Laughter] 2 MR. MANNING: This is the first official 3 meeting we've had. You could be first. 4 Diane, anything? 5 MS. DENTON: No, I think that's right. haven't decided, and as we said, we're kind of 7 taking the first step today, and Rob and Matt can 8 talk a little bit about what we are doing now in 9 South Carolina. 10 MR. MANNING: Actually, I can move to the 11 slide. That's a good segue. We're putting out 12 13 about 7,500 meters in South Carolina, in the 14 Greenville area, this year. In fact, they will be 15 out in the first half of this year, so they will be 16 done by midsummer. And we are testing the 17 technology, the smart meter technology. It is 18 brand-new technology, and there are a lot of things 19 that we want it to do that we haven't quite gotten 2.0 it to do exactly the way that we want it. 2.1 We're still working those meters to make sure 22 that they meet requirements of accuracy, consistency, reliability. So we're not quite ready 23 to make this ready for primetime, but it's getting 24 close. We're finding the accuracy level of the 25

meters is excellent and we are finding that -- the first batch of meters we got, for example, we had to send half of them back. That error rate is down dramatically at this point. We're down, what, the 2 percent range, I think, Matt?

MR. SMITH: Uh-huh.

MR. MANNING: So we're getting down into a more manageable error rate with the production of these meters. It's doing exactly the kinds of things that you would expect in a pilot. It's surfacing the issues that we know we have to deal with from a technology perspective, and it's teaching the manufacturer how to build something that can be useful in a productive way, instead of just in a test way.

So, all that seems to be going well. But we're also trying to figure out how we might deploy lots of these, because if we want to put them across two states, that's a lot of places to be.

And, in fact, Duke Energy's plan is to put them across five states. So we have to figure out what's our capability. How quickly can we deploy them? How quickly do we want to deploy them? How quickly can the manufacturer manufacture these devices? How fast do you integrate these with

45 ALLOWABLE EX PARTE BRIEFING Duke / Smart Grid Activity 1 other components of the smart grid? What are the other components of the smart grid? There are so 2 many questions to be answered that we're still 3 working through today. 4 Anything, Matt, you would add? 5 MR. SMITH: Well, if I could just add about 6 the technology, although it is new and the 7 combination of the pieces of technology is new, the 8 technology itself is not, necessarily, and I'll use 9 the meter for example. Across the world, there's 10 nearly 30 million of these types of meters. 11 it's new for us, it's new to North America, and 12 13 putting the meter and the cell phone and the powerline combination is somewhat new. All the

> devices themselves are not. And, again, I point to having 30 million worldwide, so it's a pretty good track record for us to look back on. We don't have

30 million, so, of course, we're interested in what it means for us, not just those folks in Europe or

Asia.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FLEMING: Well, that was what I was wondering, why is it still so experimental, since it's already in other states?

MR. SMITH: yeah, it's the combination of technology that we're looking at, that Duke Energy

2.0

2.1

specifically is looking at. And one of the core tenets we've had in this project is that we want to choose technology that is open architecture and flexible, meaning you can take technology from Company A and technology from Company B, and put it together and it will work.

What we're seeing in other jurisdictions is they're picking Company A as their technology vendor, and they are implementing that technology

they're picking Company A as their technology vendor, and they are implementing that technology at all points along their system, so the meter communication is all Company A. If they want to integrate technology from Company B, they can't, quite frankly, or they have a large integration cost to be able to put those technologies together.

We are very focused on being able to use the best technology from the best vendor. So our metering company, we think, is one of the best metering companies there is. We don't want them to also have to be our communication provider. We want to be able to go to those who are very best at communication, like AT&T or Verizon, for example, put those two technologies together, and have the best of all classes.

And that's what's new, that's the challenge that we face, the stuff that Rob mentioned is being

2.0

2.1

tested, how that combination works, not necessarily how each piece works.

commissioner Fleming: So it won't be
standardized, then, across the country; it will be
unique to the power companies?

MR. SMITH: Well, we're working on trying to get that standardized. We think our view of having the best technology vendor in each space is the best idea, and it's moving -- it's new enough in each jurisdiction that we still are discussing that as peer utilities, how do we get that lowest cost, most optionality, best deal for our consumers, moving forward.

What we want to avoid is coming back here in ten years and saying, "Hey, we picked really good technology for the time, but it's totally obsolete. We have to take everything out." We may come back and say, "Well, the meter's obsolete, but all of our communication infrastructure, all of our transformers, reclosers, et cetera, are fine, so instead of having to take it all back, let's just replace the obsolete part."

So we're trying to share that view with our peer utilities and help them see the advantage of doing that today versus doing it in the future.

1	MR. MELCHERS: Based on your figures on the
2	current slide, that priced out at up to \$400 per
3	residence, and that cost includes the equipment up
4	the line, not just the smart meter; is that right?
5	MR. SMITH: That's correct. What it includes
6	today would be the meter, the communication, a
7	collection mechanism to collect the data from the
8	meters, and then also some points on the
9	distribution system. So some of the transformers
10	where we are gathering information, some
11	distribution automation, but limited at this point.
12	MR. MELCHERS: And since this is a pilot
13	project, you're saying this is a more-expensive-
14	per-meter solution.
15	MR. MANNING: Absolutely. It's an
16	inefficiency of every mechanism. It's a pilot with
17	every mechanism. It's first-generation technology
18	that was a part of the 7,500, so it's not anywhere
19	near as efficient as we can be on a large-scale
20	deployment.
21	MR. MELCHERS: What would be an optimistic
22	viewpoint on the magnitude of improvements on a
23	per-meter cost? In other words, if it's this \$400
24	a unit right now, what are you hoping for if you
25	distribute this?

MR. MANNING: I'll let -- Matt's the project 1 I'll let him commit us. 2 MR. SMITH: Yeah, well --3 MS. HEIGEL: You did call for an optimistic 4 view. 5 MR. MELCHERS: I did. MS. HEIGEL: Just clarifying. 7 MR. SMITH: Well, I'll try and bound this a 8 little bit by saying what we're looking at today 9 and what we think is realistic, and then we can 10 11 talk optimistic also. But we think a 10 percent to 15 percent 12 13 reduction is achievable in the near term, being 14 from now to year three. So if we started deploying 15 today, we think by the time we got to year three, we would be more efficient at putting it out on the 16 17 system and the component costs and operating costs 18 would come down enough that we would see a 10 to 15 19 percent reduction. Being very optimistic, I think we could see 2.0 maybe a 20 to 25 percent reduction, and that would 2.1 depend on a few things, and the key things would be 22 enough manufacturing volume that vendors could then 23 buy in bulk and start to bring down that cost. And 24 when I say volume, it's likely 500,000 units or 25

2.0

2.1

more a year. So when we're talking meters, I think a full line of production is about 500,000 meters, and that will drive the cost down probably as low as they can go without going another 500,000. So that's a big one.

The other are the vendor -- the partner costs, so -- communication cost, for instance, if we partner with a Verizon or an AT&T. When you start the project and you have 1,000 transformers that you're talking to, the cost will be much different when you get up to 5,000 or 10,000. So again, by year three, assuming their technology continues to decrease in cost, we increase our volume of usage, we would see some more discount.

It's new for our vendor partners also, so Verizon, for instance, as we speak with them about what we would use their network for, it's never been used for that before. They've never collected data from meters and transformers, and so they're broaching this just like we are, trying to figure out what is the right price, how much will we use their network, will they have to build their network up to support us.

So there's a lot of dependencies that would drive those costs. So I don't want to try and say

2.0

2.1

there's no cost benefit or that we won't see any decreases, but there are quite a few dependencies that need to be worked out.

MR. MANNING: And obviously, you would not want to spend this money unless you knew that there were significant benefits and that those benefits were not only to the customer but also to the company. And I believe we've -- I believe we're moving down that direction with these pilots helping us identify the scope of those benefits. And when we come back to discuss that, we'll have more information around those.

MR. SMITH: And we're also -- just to add to the South Carolina work we're doing, we're doing some work in the Charlotte, North Carolina, area, and then also in Ohio, in the Cincinnati area. So we'll have multiple areas where we're pulling information to help us make the best decision, not just the one area. We would anticipate using what we learn in Ohio, combined with what we learn here in the Carolinas to drive the best decisions.

COMMISSIONER HOWARD: One other question.

Does innovative -- and I really appreciate the proactive stance you're taking in this, but a curiosity question. How do you arrive at a

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

depreciation factor with -- you know, you're 1 anticipating changes in the future and you don't know, so when you come into a rate case or whatever 3 the case may be, how would a depreciation factor be 4 determined? 5 MR. MANNING: That's a very good question and it is related, I think, to what you saw in Title 7 XIII, to the reference regarding obsolete 8 equipment. 9 Carol, would you address that? 10 MS. SHRUM: Yeah, well, I think you're right, 11 12 13 14

Rob. I think that's an excellent question, and one that we don't know the answer to yet. I know that folks have started to think about what will we do in our next depreciation study, when you have types of equipment like this that are rapidly changing in what the technology is. So it certainly will have to be evaluated.

COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Thank you.

MR. MANNING: One last slide. We thought -and Matt has taken a lead on this as a project manager. We thought that what we really needed is a way to put all this together in one place, so we could see everything working and understand how it's all supposed to work, not just the smart meter

2.0

2.1

but the smart grid, the integrated renewable resources, all that together.

So what we've done is we are deploying four labs, demonstration labs, if you will. The first one is actually up at Furman University and it's near complete. In fact, we expect that to be open in the summer. And it's a mock-up of our system, a home, all the different technology options you would have with this sort of system, so that you can walk through and see how it would all work together. We are planning one in Raleigh. The one in Raleigh would be more geared towards regulators and legislators, so that we could help people see what are the kinds of technologies that we would be using in those situations.

We are planning one in Charlotte, which is much like the Furman University area; it's not quite determined, the timeline on the Charlotte one. Likewise, we're planning one in Cincinnati, Ohio. Also, we haven't quite gotten the timeline nailed on those, yet.

These provide an opportunity, and we'd love to have you folks come and join us and walk through those centers, whichever makes the most sense to do, once we get those up and running.

1 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Sounds great. MR. MANNING: Now, we have an actual 2 futuristic video, and I'll let Diane Denton show us 3 Diane? what those are. 4 MS. DENTON: Sure. We're just gearing that 5 This is a video that's been created through a 6 consortium of utilities to try and just get -- help 7 paint kind of the futuristic vision of smart grid 8 technology. So, it's illustrative. I mean, 9 everything that you see in here may or may not be 10 possible, may or may not be included in Duke 11 Energy's vision, but we found that it's really 12 13 helpful to kind of help paint the picture, 14 particularly from the customer's standpoint. 15 The year is 2015, and some students at Thomas 16 Jefferson High School have been asked to give 17 presentations on their family's energy use, their 18 home, and their communities. And we're just going 19 to show you -- the actual DVD that's being 2.0 developed has, I think, about eight little 2.1 vignettes on it. In the interest of time, we've 22 chosen three that we think are well representative 23 about what we've talked about today. 24 MR. MANNING: Thomas Edison High School. MS. DENTON: 25 Thomas Edison, yeah.

1 [WHEREUPON, Video Clip #1 was presented; 2 a transcription of the audio portion 3 follows.1 4 **INSTRUCTOR**: We talked about things like 5 carbon footprints and sustainable resources. 6 Jenna's report speaks to our section on protecting 7 the environment by lowering energy usage. Jenna. 8 **STUDENT (JENNA):** Okay. So, everything in our 9 lives is driven by electricity, right? For this 10 assignment, I decided to see how the utility 11 company could help us understand the way my family 12 13 uses energy and manage it better, reduce our carbon footprint and all. So I gave them a call. 14 15 They told me the first step was to take a look at my family's account page. In our profile, I 16 found this big, glowing dot that measured how much 17 18 energy my family was using. A lot, it seemed, by how red the dot was. And 19 2.0 I could also see how we compared to our neighbors. We were using a lot more energy. So I decided my 2.1 22 project would be to try to get smarter about how we use energy, and try to do better than our 23 neighbors. 24

Our first step was pretty easy. We already

25

2.0

2.1

had compact fluorescent bulbs in our house, but the utility company encouraged us to switch to LED lighting. They also helped us install a new thermostat. Well, it's really more than just a thermostat. It tells us the price of energy and manages our heating and air conditioning. If the price of energy is high and we're not at home, it'll adjust automatically, saving energy and saving us money. Even better, my dad can adjust the settings from his computer, even if he's at work.

The changes helped, but we still had a long way to go. That's when my dad's competitive side kicked in.

The utility's site showed us that it's better to run appliances, like dishwashers, at low-energy times, like at night. So, my dad installed smart appliances that would do just that.

A widget on the utility web site showed us how much we would save over time. The new appliances weren't cheap, but we could easily calculate that they would pay for themselves within a few years.

We replaced our washer and dryer, and even automated all the lights in our house and, as you can see, working with the utility, we're doing

2.0

2.1

really well, even better than some of our neighbors.

I never really thought that turning off a few lights or adjusting the thermostat a few degrees would make that much of a difference, but it does. And now it's like the house thinks like we do. It interacts with us. It's cool.

[WHEREUPON, Video Clip #2 was presented; a transcription of the audio portion follows.]

INSTRUCTOR: Sometimes managing your energy usage means controlling your expenditure. Joshua is reporting on how his grandmother manages her monthly budget. Joshua.

STUDENT (JOSHUA): For my report, I focused on my grandmother. She's pretty resistant to change and not very savvy when it comes to technology. I even had to set up her Family Book page. [Students laugh.]

Anyway, she recently retired and only gets her pension, so she was looking for ways to better manage her costs with her bills. The utility had several options, but the one she got excited about was signing up to have this smart meter installed in her house, which gave her total control over her

spending and helped her work with her utility to 1 better manage her energy usage. 2 **COMPUTER VOICE (to grandmother)**: Hello, Mrs. 3 The current balance on your account is Anderson. 4 \$67.15. 5 STUDENT (JOSHUA): She can see how much she's 6 spent at any time, how many units she was using 7 that day, what appliances were costing her the most 8 money, and when her next payment would be. 9 **COMPUTER VOICE (to grandmother):** Are you 10 happy with your bi-monthly payment plan, or would 11 you like to modify? 12 13 **STUDENT (JOSHUA):** Right away, she saw how easy it was. She was the one who could control her 14 15 spending, and if she had any questions, there was 16 always a live representative available. 17 My grandmother liked the way she can manage 18 her spending and chose something called the Energy Saver Plan. It's a tool kit full of options to 19 2.0 keep her energy usage in line with her budget. 2.1 Then, a month later, the heat wave hit. 22 was one of those epic heat waves, like 106 in the On the hottest day of the year, everyone 23 was using their air conditioner. 24 My grandmother was, too. She had it cranked 25

1 all the way up. She was well on her way to exceeding her energy budget for the month. So, the 2 utility company actually contacted her. 3 **COMPUTER VOICE (to grandmother):** We are 4 expecting that the heat wave will peak on 5 Wednesday. At your current energy usage, you will 6 exceed your target budget... 7 **STUDENT (JOSHUA):** My grandmother had asked 8 the utility to send a signal that would adjust her 9 thermostat by just a few degrees when energy prices 10 11 were the highest. **COMPUTER VOICE (to grandmother):** To meet your 12 13 goal, we are preparing to send a signal 14 adjusting... STUDENT (JOSHUA): But it also gave her the 15 choice of how much. She decided it was just too 16 hot. It was worth a few extra dollars to stay 17 comfortable. 18 **COMPUTER VOICE (to grandmother):** Would you 19 like to maintain your current temperature? Please 2.0 press "yes" or "no." 2.1 STUDENT (JOSHUA): So with the help of her 22 utility company, she's the one who's in control of 23 her budget, and also how she wants to live. 24 25

1	MS. DENTON: I'm going to skip ahead two of
2	these, and go to one on outages.
3	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
4	[WHEREUPON, Video Clip #3 was presented;
5	a transcription of the audio portion
6	follows.]
7	INSTRUCTOR: Maria, you're covering the recent
8	storm for your report. What happened when the
9	lights went out?
10	STUDENT (MARIA): Well, I'd always wondered
11	what was happening behind the scenes, so after last
12	month's big storm, I called the utility to find
13	out.
14	If you remember, that storm came up pretty
15	fast. And my grandmother was home that night, and
16	so was my mom. My dad was trying to get home from
17	work.
18	DAD'S VOICE (to Mom via phone): Honey, it
19	looks pretty bad out here. My GPS is telling me
20	there's a big storm coming. It's telling me to
21	take a different way home. It looks like I'm going
22	to be delayed.
23	STUDENT (MARIA): The utility company jumped
24	into action. There's this thing called the smart
25	grid that allows them to pinpoint the location of

Τ	the outage. They dispatched workers to the scene
2	right away.
3	DISPATCHER'S VOICE: Dispatching 24, go to 525
4	Ridge.
5	STUDENT (MARIA): At the same time, they
6	reroute the electricity around the outage, which
7	means fewer people are sitting in the dark.
8	Out on the scene, the workers locate the
9	problem and send pictures and other information
10	back to the utility office. That helps them give a
11	real-time estimate as to when the problem will be
12	fixed. And then, the utility company lets their
13	customers know what's happened and when the power
14	should come back on.
15	Our backup system for things like our fridge
16	kicked in, when my mom got a message from the
17	utility. They let us know that the lights would be
18	back on momentarily.
19	But my mom was still worried about my
20	grandmother. That's why she signed up for an
21	additional messaging service with the utility, that
22	lets her know the status of my grandmother's house,
23	as well.
24	MOM'S VOICE (to grandmother via phone): Hi,
25	Mom?

2.0

2.1

STUDENT (MARIA): That way, my mom can call her mom and tell her when the power is coming back on. That takes a big load off both their minds.

So, with the help of the smart grid, the utility company can pinpoint where outages are, respond faster, reroute power. And most importantly, get the lights back on faster.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

MS. DENTON: I hope that's helpful. I think you can see from even the questions that are on the video, those are many of the questions we're asking ourselves, you know: How would all of this work? How would we develop new programs and services for customers? And I think, as Rob and Matt continue to work through the technology piece, certainly from a customer service piece, we're also developing different payment options, you know, what are the types of offers that this will enable us to offer customers, so all of that is still being worked out.

MS. HEIGEL: And that effectively concludes the presentation that we wanted to give you all this morning. I would want to close by thanking you all for your time and the interest and questions that you have shown us today. We

appreciate that and hope we've given you some idea 1 and sense of where we are and what we are doing. We are encouraged by the Energy Independence 3 and Security Act of 2007, and are hoping that 4 federal funds will be made available to help 5 promote the deployment of smart grid technology, and so we're keeping our eye on that at the federal 7 level and appropriations, accordingly. We are, as 8 you can see, excited about the possibilities that the new technology does offer our business and our 10 business delivery model. 11 Again, I would just reiterate that we're not 12 13 here for any request today, and have appreciated 14 your time. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Thank you. Thank you, 16 very much. Do we have any final questions that 17 anyone would like to ask while we've got this group 18 together? COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, I've got 19 2.0 one more. 21 CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: All right, sir. Commissioner Howard? 22 **COMMISSIONER HOWARD:** What criteria do you use 23 24 to determine who participates in the pilot program? Do you use -- I don't know -- usage of houses, 25

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

income, educational level? What areas do you decide where to go to put in your pilot program?

At this point, it's been, for us, MR. SMITH: our distribution system geography, more so than a customer analysis. And as we've looked at the different types of areas that we serve across our five-state territory, we've tried to find areas that we know we have in common that we'll need to address. So, for instance, in the South Carolina area, we're on a very rural circuit where we know we have communication challenges, there are some mountains and some areas that don't lend themselves well to using just a pure wireless solution.

So at this point we have not done an analysis of the type of customers that were on the circuit. We actually analyze the circuit, primarily. Moving forward, the way that we will do our other programs, I guess, or the energy efficiency programs I'm not as deeply involved with, I'm sure there will be some way of screening customers for that.

But, again, considering what we're talking about today, the distribution side, the meter and the distribution equipment, we're looking at our system more so than the customer's.

1	COMMISSIONER HOWARD: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN HAMILTON: Anything else?
3	[No response]
4	I'd like to thank each of you for being here
5	today. It's been very informative and very timely.
6	And we appreciate the efforts you've put forward
7	and the time you've taken to keep us up-to-date on
8	what's going on with Duke Energy. Thank you, very
9	much. We stand adjourned.
10	[WHEREUPON, at 11:45 a.m., the
11	proceedings in the above-entitled matter
12	were concluded.]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I, Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM-GNSC, do hereby certify that the foregoing is, to the best of my skill and ability, a true and correct transcript of all the proceedings had in an Allowable Ex Parte Briefing held in the above-captioned matter before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina.

Given under my hand, this the 31st day of March, 2008.

Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM-GNSC

ATTEST:

Charles L. A. Terreni

CHIEF CLERK/ADMINISTRATOR